


# Q1 Is it important to you to have a “ward councillor”, elected to represent your geographic township’s interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected “at large”? What is your preference?


Answered: 101 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Ward	51.49%	52
At Large	23.76%	24
Combination of Ward and At Large	16.83%	17
No preference	7.92%	8
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>101</b>

### Q3 If you are a fan of the “ward councillor” system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?


Answered: 101 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Keep the existing wards	66.34%	67
Change the wards	9.90%	10
Do not prefer the ward system	23.76%	24
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>101</b>

### Q5 Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?


Answered: 101 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
7 members	45.54% 46
5 members	42.57% 43
No preference	11.88% 12
TOTAL	101

## Q7 In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?

Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Blake	40.20%	41
Crooks	12.75%	13
Pardee	4.90%	5
Pearson	15.69%	16
Scoble	26.47%	27
TOTAL		102

## Council Composition Survey Responses Received

Start Date	Is it important to you to have a "ward councillor", elected to represent your geographic township's interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected "at large"? What is your preference?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 1.	If you are a fan of the "ward councillor" system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?	If you would like to see the existing wards changed, how do you think they should be changed?	Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 5.	In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?
2021-10-31 16:45:57	Ward		Keep the existing wards		No preference		Blake
2021-10-14 10:24:45	Ward		Change the wards	Pearson and Pardee combined.	5 members	It is important to have representatives from the corners of the municipality. At large councillors won't guarantee this.	Blake
2021-10-12 07:39:02	Combination of Ward and At Large	Combination provides better public support, and at large councilors can assist ward councilors if they are overloaded with issues.	Keep the existing wards		7 members	With a larger council there are more opinions and ideas that can be proposed.	Blake
2021-10-10 10:52:27	Combination of Ward and At Large		Change the wards		5 members		Blake
2021-10-10 08:28:46	Ward	With the ward, if a unpopular decision has to be made. It is that person that stands up for us. Not someone who lives in a different ward.	Keep the existing wards	Na	7 members	Na	Blake
2021-10-08 00:43:16	Combination of Ward and At Large	I have no further comments	Keep the existing wards	N/A	5 members	I believe the savings realized by reducing to 5 councillors will be better spent elsewhere.	Blake
2021-10-07 20:13:21	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Blake
2021-10-04 22:15:14	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-04 11:50:06	Ward	I think there is no need for ward councillors and councilors at large	Keep the existing wards	No change	5 members	No need for 7 councilors	Blake
2021-10-03 21:35:59	Combination of Ward and At Large	I feel my interests are better considered if there is a ward Counsellor from my township	Keep the existing wards	Same as before. Designated	No preference	I have no opinion	Blake
2021-10-03 17:57:12	Ward	I need a representative from where I live.	Change the wards	population	5 members	Easier decision making	Blake
2021-10-03 17:56:36	No preference		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Blake
2021-10-03 16:51:00	Combination of Ward and At Large	Good idea	Keep the existing wards	N/A	5 members	Less cost	Blake
2021-10-03 14:58:55	At Large		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-03 14:15:25	Combination of Ward and At Large	Due to the history of marginalizing certain areas, a combination might insure that everyone receives strong representation.	Keep the existing wards		5 members	For a population of 2,000 residents five counsellors should be able to manage either electronic or in-person communication with the residents they are responsible for.	Blake
2021-10-03 13:16:15	Ward		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Blake
2021-10-03 10:51:27	Ward		Change the wards	combine pearson/scoble, and crooks/pardee	5 members		Blake
2021-10-03 10:45:02	Ward	Nothing to add	Keep the existing wards	NA	5 members	Nothing to add	Blake
2021-10-03 10:21:35	Ward	Large area with different issues in each one	Keep the existing wards	NA	7 members	Again very large sized municipality, would be difficult for a smaller council to represent	Blake
2021-10-03 09:12:11	Combination of Ward and At Large	Combination gives you a good representation of pros and cons	Keep the existing wards	No change	7 members	More voices are always better. Not so polarized to one area	Blake
2021-10-03 09:02:57	No preference	Don't know what they mean	Keep the existing wards	ldk	No preference	ldk	Blake
2021-10-03 08:15:17	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-03 08:00:30	Combination of Ward and At Large		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Blake
2021-10-02 22:34:07	Ward	Needing is a large municipality with different interests in different areas (farming, natural resources, recreation, etc) I don't believe at large members can properly represent the diverse needs of the entire municipality.	Keep the existing wards	No concerns	No preference	I don't think it would hurt anything to reduce council, my preference would be to remove the at large councilors if anything. The last several elections have gone uncontested while I know some residents have expressed concern with reduced representation there doesn't appear to be a significant interest in stepping forward to participate	Blake
2021-10-02 21:48:28	At Large	As long as they represent the whole community	Do not prefer the ward system		5 members	5 members should be able to look after 2000 people.	Blake
2021-10-02 21:02:22	No preference	As long as ward issues are considered by Council and not eliminated from consideration, it is fine either way	Do not prefer the ward system	No opinion	7 members	Large geographic area despite a small population base. I think there may well be extra logistical challenges as a result	Blake
2021-10-02 20:57:18	Combination of Ward and At Large	Large landbase and wide diversity of users make it imperative to retain ward representation in order to prevent densely populated areas from drowning out the voices of the areas with lower density	Keep the existing wards		7 members	Most other municipalities are much smaller geographically than the municipality of needing	Blake
2021-10-02 20:30:12	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-02 20:28:57	Combination of Ward and At Large	If all councilors are at large you run the risk of a region being un- or under-represented	Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-02 20:01:35	Ward	Would like to retain a councillor for our specific ward with its interests at heart.	Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-02 19:26:09	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		No preference		Blake
2021-10-02 19:26:20	Combination of Ward and At Large		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-10-02 19:23:49	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members	Why would anyone want smaller democratic representation ?i	Blake
2021-09-30 06:50:19	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake

## Council Composition Survey Responses Received

Start Date	Is it important to you to have a "ward councillor", elected to represent your geographic township's interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected "at large"? What is your preference?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 1.	If you are a fan of the "ward councillor" system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?	If you would like to see the existing wards changed, how do you think they should be changed?	Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 5.	In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?
2021-09-24 08:07:54	No preference	To accommodate representation by population combine Pardee/Pearson	Change the wards	combine Pardee/Pearson	5 members	cost savings, administrative efficiencies,	Blake
2021-09-04 22:12:38	Combination of Ward and At Large	Neebing is such a large area that it would be important to still have councillors attached to geographic areas. It would present some more popular neighbourhoods from becoming overrepresented on Council.	Keep the existing wards	not familiar enough with current ward boundaries to propose changes.	7 members	Would like to see a core group attached to geographic areas and maybe two 'at large' councillors	Blake
2021-09-03 12:15:48	No preference	Considering I have never met my ward council (even at time of elections), doesn't make a difference.	Keep the existing wards	n/a	5 members	Five is sufficient.	Blake
2021-09-03 11:35:29	Combination of Ward and At Large	I prefer each ward have its own representation, with one separate "at large" council position.	Keep the existing wards	N/A	7 members	My preference is for there to be a greater diversity of representation.	Blake
2021-09-02 23:03:29	Combination of Ward and At Large		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Blake
2021-09-02 18:53:35	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members	Neebing does not need seven councillors.	Blake
2021-09-01 14:38:42	At Large	Council should be responsible for all areas of the municipality and know the whole municipality and needs of the residents	Do not prefer the ward system	I'm not sure how it should be changed yet.	5 members	Depending on the need	Blake
2021-10-10 09:22:37	At Large	We have had no councillor visit since the current one took position.	Keep the existing wards	No comment	5 members	Save money.	Crooks
2021-10-04 18:21:28	At Large	I believe that adequate representation could be provided fairly.	Do not prefer the ward system		5 members	A 5 member council can provide sufficient governance	Crooks
2021-10-03 21:37:56	Ward	Remote and unique.	Keep the existing wards		7 members		Crooks
2021-10-03 12:29:57	No preference	None of these is my preference. Current Wards are disproportionate with respect to residents. There are significant geographic differences in issues, but current wards do not capture these differences. We need to reconsider ward boundaries as part of deciding on how many council members we have. Each ward should contain approximately the same number of people.	Change the wards	1) approx same number of people in each ward. Also wards should be functional entities, not just random boundaries. For example, we could have Lakeshore subdivisions, commercial (forestry, farming), and non-waterfront wards. If one of these categories contains too many people ..	No preference	increase the number of wards for that category.	Crooks
2021-10-03 09:38:08	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Crooks
2021-10-03 09:32:46	At Large	Councils are too large and have to be reduced.	Do not prefer the ward system	I don't really think they need changing and I am sure most people wouldn't want that. For heaven sake Thunder Bay was renamed 50+ years ago and are still referred to as FW and PA	5 members	If most municipalities can do it with 5, why can't Neebing?	Crooks
2021-10-02 19:32:42	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system	All at large	5 members		Crooks
2021-10-02 19:23:56	Ward		Change the wards		7 members		Crooks
2021-09-24 16:20:58	At Large	With our low population levels it does not seem relevant to have councilors dedicated to smaller geographic areas.	Do not prefer the ward system	n/a	No preference	i have no strong feelings either way. i would support moving to a 5 member council though.	Crooks
2021-09-03 10:01:08	Ward	We need representation from each area or council could be loaded with people from one area as self interest group to get what they want on their area	Keep the existing wards	They shouldn't be changed. Just a useless waste of money	7 members	We have 5 wards 1 at large and the Reece. Leave it be	Crooks
2021-09-03 09:31:56	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members	5 is enough to represent 2000 people	Crooks
2021-09-01 17:25:34	Ward		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Crooks
2021-09-01 12:51:22		at large		n/a		no should be 5	Crooks
2021-09-24 18:00:02	Ward	All wards need equal representation to be fair to all wards. To much work Togoerinnen 5 representatives to fill all committe positions.	Keep the existing wards	Do not want it changed	7 members	All wards need to have a say in municipal affairs.	Pardee
2021-09-20 11:17:28	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Pardee
2021-09-02 20:45:05	Ward	Do not want and at large council. It could present a situation where there are several councillors from one geographical area and present a chance for a hidden agenda to be created.	Keep the existing wards	Keep existing	7 members	All areas should be represented	Pardee
2021-09-02 15:03:31	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Pardee
2021-09-01 20:45:20	At Large	I feel we have too many councillors and it should be reduced. Also in a small municipality the councillors should represent everyone	Do not prefer the ward system	.go to at large	5 members	I feel 7 is too many for Neebing and many other municipalities do great with 5	Pardee
2021-11-01 18:14:26	Ward	I like to know each township has representatives	Keep the existing wards	Do not change	7 members	You just can't pick and choose. Leave well enough alone.	Pearson
2021-11-01 17:57:55	Ward	Why can't every township have representation.	Keep the existing wards	I don't want it changed.	7 members	It wouldn't be fair to Pearson, Pardee.	Pearson

**Council Composition Survey  
Responses Received**

Start Date	Is it important to you to have a "ward councillor", elected to represent your geographic township's interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected "at large"? What is your preference?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 1.	If you are a fan of the "ward councillor" system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?	If you would like to see the existing wards changed, how do you think they should be changed?	Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 5.	In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?
2021-10-31 08:45:37	Ward	Ward councilors enable accountability to residents of the various areas within a municipality, especially if an issue affects one segment but may not be an issue for the municipality as a whole.	Keep the existing wards	If existing wards were changed, I think they should be completely redrawn. I know this does not save money (the goal of this whole endeavor), but it would be fair and align with the idea that we are now "Neebing" and not a collection of independent townships. However, if the proposed combining of Pearson and Pardee into a single "ward" is easy, cost effective, and makes sense given the demographic similarity and close relationship of the two townships.	7 members	What other municipalities do is with their council is their concern and has nothing to do with Neebing. For Neebing, having been formed from 5 separate townships, it makes sense to have a representative from each - I know we have a small population, but we have a large geographic area and our councilors do a lot of leg-work (not just attend meetings and sit on boards). I am sure Neebing could get by with 5 councilors, but I don't think they will be saving any money as the work that the current councilors do at a bargain price will be taken up by other volunteers (honorarium) or by hired workers (significantly more \$).	Pearson
2021-10-28 21:23:58	Ward	Our area is already under represented with services. Pearson does not get the same attention as the wards closer to Hwy 61	Keep the existing wards	Don't change it	7 members	Neebing is a large geographic area. We need representation	Pearson
2021-10-08 01:15:53	Ward	A councilor for a ward will be more in touch that area of the township	Keep the existing wards		5 members		Pearson
2021-10-03 10:10:29	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Pearson
2021-10-03 00:56:26	Ward	Historically if certain areas do not have a ward councilor, they are quickly forgotten or purposely ignored.	Change the wards	Some wards can be doubled up	5 members	Nothing to add. Just makes sense	Pearson
2021-10-02 21:16:58	At Large	Theres no reason why one "township" would get favoured over another	Do not prefer the ward system	Leave it as is if keeping the ward system	No preference	More councillors means more opions which can be a good thing. Also more votes cast demonstrates clear directions from council 7-0 , 4-3 means an issue is still contested	Pearson
2021-10-02 20:59:23	Ward	I want representation in my area not all congregated in Cloud Bay or other areas	Keep the existing wards		7 members		Pearson
2021-09-28 22:59:23	Ward	At large will allow high density areas to elect councillors to represent their interests.	Keep the existing wards		5 members	One councillor from each wa4d.	Pearson
2021-09-17 12:04:19	Ward	It would be unfortunate if all were unintentionally from the same area, which could happen? If they were all at Large.	Keep the existing wards	Keep the same. They are connected to living history of folks who lived through amalgamation; and participate (have interests and understanding) in the community accordingly.	5 members	Oh right! It can't be an even number...I would go for 5 for financial reasons; but please consider it being a "working Council", similar to many Boards in order to continue services and ensure there is time/staff/Council attention to economic development and delivery of community services PS Parks are more important to creating good citizens and building community than good roads (I still enjoy the latter, but you get my point, hopefully). So maybe Councilors can have an expanded mandate which includes applying for funding, researching things; etc. that would traditionally fall to staff.	Pearson
2021-09-05 20:15:44	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Pearson
2021-09-02 10:55:46	Ward	A ward representative has a personal stake in your geographic area. He/she will truly be in touch with their constituents.	Keep the existing wards	Please keep it the same	7 members	Neebing is too large as it is. We need fair representation for all taxpayers. We live in west Pearson it has seemed for years that the residents living closer to Hwy 61 get more value for their tax dollars. Reducing the number of councillors will only perpetuate this further.	Pearson
2021-09-02 00:15:12	No preference		Do not prefer the ward system		7 members		Pearson

## Council Composition Survey Responses Received

Start Date	Is it important to you to have a "ward councillor", elected to represent your geographic township's interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected "at large"? What is your preference?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 1.	If you are a fan of the "ward councillor" system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?	If you would like to see the existing wards changed, how do you think they should be changed?	Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 5.	In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?
2021-09-01 22:36:55	Combination of Ward and At Large	Ward councillors are vital for fair representation of the varying priorities residents of Neebing may have – some areas have higher population densities, whereas other areas have people who own larger parcels of land. Some residences on the lakefront have a higher monetary property value, which may result in more credence being given to their priorities. However, the ecological value of most of the area in Neebing is invaluable (for example the carbon sink and water retention capacity of wetlands, including but not limited to the provincially significant wetland in Pearson) even though the 'property values' may be less. On the other hand, at-large councillors are not beholden to a given ward and can make decisions based on the best interest of the whole community. It is a difficult balance, and in the end, it rests on how good of a job council does. However, small government does not necessarily benefit the residents of a community, and should not be a goal in itself (the monetary savings are not that significant – if they are in fact \$20,000/yr).	Keep the existing wards	the wards should represent the composite townships. If population density were to change the wards, some areas would be under-represented	7 members	Each township should have a representative. Per my first comment, at-large councillors may provide an "uninvested" voice. The monetary savings of reducing council is not significant. The questions of this survey are slanted to reflect what current mayor wants (see Q. 5 above).	Pearson
2021-09-01 22:03:29	Ward		Keep the existing wards		No preference		Pearson
2021-10-10 09:02:15	Combination of Ward and At Large	I feel our council doesn't listen to us and vote together anyways so who cares!	Change the wards	Vote	5 members	Again I feel as though they all vote together anyways and non of them think for themselves so what would it matter.	Scoble
2021-10-07 17:41:29	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Scoble
2021-10-06 21:03:40	Ward		Change the wards		No preference		Scoble
2021-10-05 18:54:43	At Large	If all are at large then they all become responsible for all areas of neebing	Do not prefer the ward system	No preference	5 members	It shouldn't take 7 ppl to oversee this township	Scoble
2021-10-03 23:30:36	Combination of Ward and At Large	Let's try and be more fair to all areas, roads maintenance is a huge issue and needs more attention...	Keep the existing wards		No preference	As long as equal consideration is provided...	Scoble
2021-10-03 20:59:08	Ward	Someone living in that ward with you would be more understanding of the situation in that ward.	Keep the existing wards		No preference		Scoble
2021-10-03 20:27:28	At Large		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Scoble
2021-10-03 14:08:29	At Large	T	Do not prefer the ward system	Na	5 members	Na	Scoble
2021-10-03 10:05:04	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-10-03 09:34:04	Ward		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Scoble
2021-10-03 08:45:58	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members		Scoble
2021-10-02 22:25:05	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-10-02 22:21:12	At Large	Wards are irrelevant	Do not prefer the ward system	Na	5 members	5 is enough to represent 2000 people	Scoble
2021-10-02 22:09:40	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-10-02 21:20:50	Ward	Someone living in the ward should represent it on council	Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-10-02 21:04:33	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-10-02 20:09:06	At Large		Do not prefer the ward system		5 members	Don't need 7.. that's too many	Scoble
2021-10-02 20:05:01	Ward	Need a ward approach	Keep the existing wards	NA	7 members	More voices heard	Scoble
2021-10-02 19:36:43	No preference		Keep the existing wards		5 members		Scoble
2021-09-28 21:35:03	Ward	We agreed to joining Neebing from Scoble Unorganized Township with the understanding we would have ward representatives. Neebing is a large and diverse township. Ward representatives are more likely to be cognizant of the needs of their area.	Keep the existing wards	No change. We are a large municipality and need 7.	7 members	7 are needed due to our diversity in areas of the municipality.	Scoble
2021-09-11 16:02:31	Ward		Keep the existing wards		7 members		Scoble
2021-09-11 16:00:22	Ward	Different wards have different needs, roads, populations and challenges. Each ward deserves a voice in council	Keep the existing wards		7 members	I want each ward to have a voice in council and I believe there is a place for a few at large councillors as well	Scoble




**Council Composition Survey  
Responses Received**

Start Date	Is it important to you to have a "ward councillor", elected to represent your geographic township's interests? Or would you be comfortable having all Council members elected "at large"? What is your preference?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 1.	If you are a fan of the "ward councillor" system, are you comfortable with the way the wards are drawn up? Or do you think the ward boundaries should be changed?	If you would like to see the existing wards changed, how do you think they should be changed?	Are you comfortable with a 7-member Council when most other rural municipalities opt for 5-member Councils? What is your preferred size of Council?	Please provide additional comments regarding your response to Question 5.	In which Ward (geographical township) do you live?
2021-09-10 13:11:55	Ward	Ward councillors are needed as I feel that Neebing is quite large geographically and that people in Scoble have different concerns than someone in Blake or pardee. Also just because more people live in one area doesn't mean all the councillors should come from that area.	Keep the existing wards	I think they are drawn ok and could be revisited at a later date after two terms of a five member council to ensure each constituent is still fairly represented.	5 members	I find that as of now all 7 members vote the same usually anyway. We may take any resources freed up from 2 council positions and have it better spent/utilized in office settings, legal matters or planning situations. Volunteer committees play an active role in community input where I think they are more important than an additional two councillors	Scoble
2021-09-03 17:02:48	Ward	Neebing is a large municipality, decisions made in one area may not necessarily be in the best interest of another.	Keep the existing wards		7 members	Neebing may be small in population however due to geographic size multiple members are required for proper representation. Just because other places do something doesn't mean you must follow suit.	Scoble
2021-09-03 13:48:34	At Large	No comments	Do not prefer the ward system	I think we should stop using them	5 members	7 is a lot. Disburse the additional funds to funding the fire department or health related programs	Scoble
2021-09-02 22:05:12	Ward	Better representation for each township	Keep the existing wards	Should not be changed - keep township boundaries as they are	7 members	We have 5 townships and each township should have separate representations.	Scoble
2021-09-02 11:19:45	Ward	I think each area is so large with different priorities and unique issues.	Keep the existing wards		7 members	I think having a member representing each ward, one member at large, and the mayor representing all areas worked in the past and will continue to work going forward.	Scoble

# Q1 Which Council Composition do you prefer?


Answered: 13 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Proposed - Mayor with 4 Ward Councillors (with Pardee and Pearson combined)	23.08%	3
Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	76.92%	10
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>13</b>

### Q3 Did you complete the first Council Composition Survey?


Answered: 13 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	76.92%	10
No	23.08%	3
TOTAL		13

### Q4 Which ward do you live in?

Answered: 13 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Blake	7.69%	1
Crooks	15.38%	2
Pardee	15.38%	2
Pearson	46.15%	6
Scoble	15.38%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>13</b>

**Council Composition Follow-up Survey  
Responses Received**

Date	Which Council Composition do you prefer?	Please provide any additional comments.	Did you complete the first Council Composition Survey?	Which ward do you live in?
2021-10-31 15:13:52	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large		Yes	Blake
2021-10-17 10:23:28	Proposed - Mayor with 4 Ward Councillors (with Pardee and Pearson combined)		Yes	Crooks
2021-10-12 21:39:33	Proposed - Mayor with 4 Ward Councillors (with Pardee and Pearson combined)	In the 90's when communities amalgamated it was to cut costs. Council should be cut to save costs	Yes	Crooks
2021-10-31 16:58:40	Proposed - Mayor with 4 Ward Councillors (with Pardee and Pearson combined)	I think having the mandatory 5 is sufficient	Yes	Pardee
2021-10-13 19:16:56	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large		Yes	Pardee
2021-11-01 18:12:26	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	No reason to change it.	No	Pearson
2021-11-01 17:55:25	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	You may think this is a no Brainer. But not necessarily to us residents of Pearson, Pardee.	No	Pearson
2021-10-31 08:42:11	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	This is fair because the interests of the original communities that comprise Neebing are equally represented. Memory Road millionaires and Pardee homesteaders have different priorities and needs, and the smaller townships run the risk of getting bowled over by the big voices.	No	Pearson

**Council Composition Follow-up Survey  
Responses Received**

Date	Which Council Composition do you prefer?	Please provide any additional comments.	Did you complete the first Council Composition Survey?	Which ward do you live in?
2021-10-26 09:29:55	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	I understand the logic behind the proposal to reduce the number of councilors. However, I think the status quo is fair when considering the history of the communities and vast area of Neebing, and has been working to this point. The benefits of reducing council members is limited - any monetary savings gained by not having the 2 councilors would be taken up by paying additional staff or volunteer honorarium for doing the work councilors are currently doing (for a bargain price). It does seem that the issue of the number of councilors is at least partly one of principal - i.e., "Why does Neebing need this number when other municipalities have less?" In summary, no significant benefit from reducing the number of councilors and the current system has been working to this point. Therefore, I don't support the proposed change at this time (though I do see the reasoning behind the proposal).	Yes	Pearson
2021-10-12 14:20:15	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large		Yes	Pearson
2021-10-12 11:48:06	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large		Yes	Pearson
2021-11-01 05:51:28	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	Neebing has many other areas they can save money with other than this. This group of councilors is all ready far to clickee to reduce these numbers	Yes	Scoble
2021-10-12 14:31:40	Status Quo - Mayor with 5 Ward Councillors and 1 Councillor-at-Large	Leave as is - better representation	Yes	Scoble